

SU(2)-Structure & Heterotic String Compactification

Danny Martinez-Pedraera¹

¹II. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, D-22761 Hamburg, Germany

We briefly discuss SU(2)-structure 6-manifolds, their role in the compactification of the heterotic string and the resulting gauged supergravity at low energies [1].

ΑΓΕΩΜΕΤΡΗΤΟΣ·ΜΗΔΕΙΞΕΙΚΙΤΩ

String Theory replaces the idea of point-like elementary particles with that of tiny one-dimensional objects, a.k.a. strings (duh!), and attempts to describe their propagation and interaction by consistently applying relativistic and quantum principles. The resulting spin-2 vibrational state is readily identified as the graviton, and therefore String Theory is a natural candidate for a theory of quantum gravity.

Unfortunately, consistency of the theory requires a number of extra spatial dimensions. The supersymmetric string, for example, requires a ten-dimensional space-time. One solution to the discrepancy with the perceived four-dimensional world is to consider the extra six dimensions to be *compactified*, i.e. forming a very small, compact ‘internal’ manifold Y over every four-dimensional point.

The effective action of the superstring in four dimensions at low energies^a, obtained by integrating out the six extra dimensions, depends of course on the mathematical properties of Y . Since supersymmetry seems to solve a number of issues also at low energies, it is desirable for at least part of the 10-dimensional supersymmetry to be preserved by the compactification process. This is achieved by requiring the existence of one or more globally-defined spinors on Y , which in turn implies the reduction of the structure group of Y to a proper subgroup G of $SO(6)$.^b

The existence of *two* globally defined, linearly independent spinors η_1 and η_2 on Y implies SU(2)-structure and, for the heterotic string, leads to $N = 2$ supergravity in 4 dimensions. If, moreover, these spinors are covariantly constant with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, then Y has SU(2)-holonomy and therefore $Y = K3 \times T^2$, i.e. a product of a complex K3 surface and a torus.

SU(2)-holonomy case

The existence of such a pair of spinors, which can be chosen to satisfy the orthonormality condition $\bar{\eta}_i \eta_j = \delta_{ij}$, is equivalent to the existence of a triplet of self-dual two-forms $J^x = J_{ab}^x dy^a \wedge dy^b$ and a pair of real one-forms $v^i = v_a^i dy^a$ on Y , as can be seen from the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{ab}^1 + iJ_{ab}^2 &= i\bar{\eta}_2 \gamma_{ab} \eta_1, \\ J_{ab}^3 &= -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\eta}_1 \gamma_{ab} \eta_1 + \bar{\eta}_2 \gamma_{ab} \eta_2), \\ v_a^1 + iv_a^2 &= \bar{\eta}_2^c \gamma_a \eta_1, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where γ_a are the six $SO(6)$ gamma-matrices and γ_{ab} is the antisymmetrised product $\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_a \gamma_b - \gamma_b \gamma_a)$.

For $K3 \times T^2$, the fact that the spinors η_i are covariantly constant with respect to the Levi-Civita connection implies that J^x and v^i as defined in Eq. (1) are closed: $dJ^x = dv^i = 0$. In this case, J^x are the three self-dual closed forms defining the hyperkähler structure on K3, and $v^i = dz^i$, with z^i the coordinates of $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$.

The bosonic sector of the heterotic string consists of the ten-dimensional metric, the Neveu–Schwarz two-form B_2 and the $E_8 \times E_8$ Yang-Mills field A_1 . An Ansatz for the Kaluza-Klein reduction on $K3 \times T^2$ to four dimensions x^μ can be written as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + g_{mn} dy^m dy^n + g_{ij} \mathcal{E}^i \mathcal{E}^j, \\ B_2 &= \frac{1}{2} B_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu + B_{i\mu} \mathcal{E}^i \wedge dx^\mu + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} B_{ij} \mathcal{E}^i \wedge \mathcal{E}^j + b_A \omega^A, \\ A_1^I &= A_\mu^I dx^\mu + A_i^I \mathcal{E}^i, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{E}^i = dz^i - V_\mu^i dx^\mu$, y^m and g_{mn} are the coordinates and metric on K3, and ω^A are the 22 harmonic two-forms on K3. The original $E_8 \times E_8$ gauge symmetry will be generically broken to an Abelian subgroup $U(1)^{n_g}$ for some n_g , so $I = 1, \dots, n_g$.

Carrying out the Kaluza-Klein reduction with this Ansatz leads to $N = 2$ supergravity with fields organized in one gravity multiplet, $n_v = 3 + n_g$ vector multiplets and 20 hypermultiplets.^c The bosonic content is distributed as follows: the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ sits of course in the gravity multiplet; the 4 + n_g vectors V_μ^i , $B_{i\mu}$ and A_μ^I become the vectors in the n_v vector multiplets, plus the graviphoton in the gravity multiplet; the three scalars in the symmetric g_{ij} , plus the one scalar in the antisymmetric B_{ij} , plus the dilaton ϕ , plus the scalar dual to the two-form $B_{\mu\nu}$ (the so-called axion a), plus the $2n_g$ scalars A_i^I , become the n_v complex scalars in the vector multiplets; and finally, 58 scalars from the deformations of the K3 metric g_{mn} , plus the 22 scalars b^A , become the 80 real scalars sitting in the 20 hypermultiplets.

The hypermultiplet scalars can be organized in a $SO(4, 20)$ matrix \mathcal{M} , and after tedious calculations the Lagrangian for these fields can be shown to take the following form,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{8} \text{tr}(\partial_\mu \mathcal{M} \partial^\mu \mathcal{M}). \quad (3)$$

In particular, no potential is generated for these or any of the scalar fields, which is seen as a problem and part of the motivation for considering a more general type of background.

General SU(2)-structure backgrounds

For a generic SU(2)-structure manifold Y , the spinors η_i are covariantly constant with respect to a connection with non-vanishing *torsion*. In this case, the forms J^x and v^i as defined in Eq. (1) fail to be closed.

The manifold Y cannot be written as a Cartesian product in this general case; however, the existence of the pair of one-forms v^i still allows for the definition of an *almost product structure* $\Pi_a^b = 2g^{bc}v_a^i v_c^i - \delta_a^b$ on Y satisfying $\Pi_a^b \Pi_b^c = \delta_a^c$. This structure splits the tangent space over every point y of Y into two- and four-dimensional subspaces, $T_y Y = V_2 \oplus W_4$. The subspace V_2 is spanned by the vectors dual to the one-forms v^i , and the orthonormality of the spinors implies $\iota_{v^i} J^x$, i.e. the two-forms J^x must have legs only along W_4 .

Due to this result, the most general departure from closure for the two- and one-forms on Y can be parametrized in the following way,^d

$$dv^i = \theta^i v^1 \wedge v^2, \quad d\omega^A = T_{iB}^A v^i \wedge \omega^B, \quad (4)$$

where the number of two-forms ω^A is generically n . Vanishing torsion means $\theta^i = T_{iB}^A = 0$, $Y = K3 \times T^2$ and $n = 22$.

Nilpotency of the d-operator, together with Stokes' theorem $\int_Y d(v^i \wedge \omega^A \wedge \omega^B) = 0$, leads to the following constraints on the values of θ^i and T_{iB}^A ,

$$T_{iB}^A = \theta_i \delta_B^A + \Theta_{iB}^A, \quad [\Theta_1, \Theta_2] = \theta^i \Theta_i, \quad (5)$$

where $\theta_i \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \theta^j$, with $\epsilon_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ji}$ and $\epsilon_{12} = 1$. Also, the two matrices $(\Theta_i)^A_B \equiv \Theta_{iB}^A$ are in $\mathfrak{so}(3, n-3)$, the algebra of $SO(3, n-3)$, as there must be three self-dual and $n-3$ anti-self-dual two-forms.

K3 fibration over a torus

A case with $\theta^i = 0$ can be constructed as a fiber bundle with fiber K3 over a torus T^2 . The matrices Θ_i can be any two mutually commuting matrices in $\mathfrak{so}(3, 19)$. The one-forms are $v^i = dz^i$, as in the SU(2)-holonomy case, and the $n = 22$ two-forms ω^A are closed on every K3 fiber, but depend on z^i in the following way,

$$\omega^A(z) = (\exp z^i \Theta_i)^A_B \omega^B(0). \quad (6)$$

Going once around each torus coordinate ($z^i \sim z^i + 1$), the set of two-forms ω^A needs to come back to itself up to some discrete monodromy $\exp T^i$ in $SO(3, 19, \mathbb{Z})$, which is indeed a symmetry of the string theory.

Performing the dimensional reduction on this background with the Ansatz in Eq. (2) produces a *gauged* $N = 2$ supergravity with the same field content. The hypermultiplet scalars become charged with respect to

the Kaluza-Klein vectors V_μ^i , and the Lagrangian in Eq. (3) becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{8} \text{tr}(D_\mu \mathcal{M} D^\mu \mathcal{M}) - \frac{1}{8} e^\phi g^{ij} \text{tr}([\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T}_i][\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T}_j]) \quad (7)$$

with covariant derivatives

$$D_\mu \mathcal{M} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{M} - V_\mu^i [\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T}_i], \quad (8)$$

and the 24×24 matrix \mathcal{T}_i defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_i = \text{diag}(0, 0, \Theta_i) \in \mathfrak{so}(4, 20). \quad (9)$$

As can be seen from Eq. (7), a potential is generated for the hypermultiplet scalars. The scalars in vector multiplets remain neutral.

K3 fibration over a 'twisted torus'

The case with $\theta^i \neq 0$ can be thought of as a K3 fibration over a 'twisted torus'. Though in all rigor a 'twisted torus' does not exist as a global manifold, the construction makes sense if we consider it in two steps: a reduction to 5 dimensions on $K3 \times S^1$ plus a further, Scherk-Schwarz-type compactification on another circle S^1 .

The embedding into string theory remains problematic in this case, as the twist after going once around the circle is not in the U-duality group. Still, as far as the effective field theory is concerned, the result is consistent with a *gauged* $N = 2$ supergravity.

Eqs. (7) and (8) for the hypermultiplet sector still apply in this case, with

$$\mathcal{T}_i = \text{diag}(\theta_i, -\theta_i, \Theta_i) \in \mathfrak{so}(4, 20). \quad (10)$$

The biggest difference is that the scalars in the vector multiplet sector become charged as well with respect to some linear combinations of the vectors V_μ^i and $B_{i\mu}$, and a potential is also generated in this sector.

The full equations for the bosonic part of the effective action are too big to fit here, but can be found in [1], together with all the necessary references.

Notes

- a. 'Low' with respect to the Planck energy $\sqrt{\hbar c^5/G}$, but still quite high with respect to energies accessible to modern particle accelerators.
- b. This means that one can choose orthonormal bases on an open cover of Y such that all transition functions take values in G instead of the generic $SO(6)$ rotation.
- c. There are additional hypermultiplets whose number and structure depend on the details of the breaking of the $E_8 \times E_8$ gauge symmetry, but these will be ignored here.
- d. There are no other one- or three-forms if one rules out massive gravitino multiplets.

References

[1] J. Louis, D. Martinez-Pedrerera and A. Micu, *JHEP* 09 (2009) 012 – arxiv.org/abs/0907.3799